|  |
| --- |
| AHRC IAA Fund Aims and Assessment Criteria Scoring |
| 5 = highly fundable 4 = fundable 3 = fundable subject to conditions/further information2 = unfundable in present form 1 = essentially unfundable  |
| Essential criteria  |
| Scope for intended (impact/partnership) outcomes realistic, and clearly outlined | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Link to the underpinning research is apparent, relevant, and appropriate | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Equality, sustainability, and responsible innovation are well-considered | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Engagement activities appropriate and well-planned | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Appropriate degree/type of collaboration and/or co-creation with partners | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Activities achievable and appropriately resourced in relation to time and budget | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Total score  |  |
| Minimum of 3 in each element is required for eligibility. Highlight/bold selection. |
| AHRC IAA priorities |
| Potential for new, expanded, or deepened partnerships | Y/N  |
| Proposal outlines innovative approach or engagement activity | Y/N |
| Potential for impact in one of the following areas: commercialisation/policy/education  | Y/N  |
| Led by Early Career Researcher (8 years from PhD or up to Lecturer role) | Y/N |
| These elements address key priorities of the UofG AHRC IAA. Although captured as Y/N these considerations should be weighed carefully in the overall discussion.  |
| Comments: |